Present: Lesley Bancroft (part), Julie Love, Phil Marshall, Cllr Conrad Oatey, Cllr Carys Thomas, Chris Saffell, Cllr Pete Warren

Apologies or not present: Cllr Andy Brown, Gary Grayston, Clive Keble, Matthew Kemp, Cllr John Thurman,

1. Minutes of the previous meeting

These were accepted as a true record and would be passed to the Parish Council Management Committee before publication on the NP website. **(LB)**

- 2. Consultation and Communication.
 - Consultation had been completed. **CT** to provide all contact details to PM for the BC consultation to follow.
 - CT had circulated the analysis of resident forms received, and would tidy this up for inclusion in the Statement of Consultation. The numerical information and comments were considered in detail and changes to be made to the NP were agreed. Responses were indicating a "yes" vote of 77%.
 - Responses from organisations and other stakeholders were considered in detail and changes agreed for most of the issues raised. It was agreed that **CT** would make the changes and circulate the amended NP for checking.
 - The remaining comments would require following up before changes can be made these larger items of work have been added to section 4 below. Several of these would need a meeting between PM, colleagues at Development Control and others at RBC, with CT, CO and CS. **CT/PM** to arrange.
 - It is possible after the meeting that the NPPT and RBC will still not agree on some items. In this case a joint statement of areas of disagreement might be the way forward, leaving it to the examiner to determine. **PM** to check possibilities of this approach.
 - The follow-up newsletter and responses to individual residents would be undertaken after the amendments to produce the submission version were complete. The leaflet would need to include the likely timing of the referendum.
 - The Parish Council would need to sign off the submission version still aiming for meeting of 25 Nov. [Post meeting note now hoping for 9 December] **CT/CO/LB**
 - Publicity before referendum to get people to vote
- 3. Project Timetable
 - **PM** would need to have a detailed discussion with RM at RBC about the timing for the rest of the stages.
 - **PM** had circulated details of the NPIERS register of examiners and it was agreed that he would start the ball rolling to engage one, with the Project Team involved in the shortlisting.
 - PM asked if the project team thought a pre submission check from NPIERS should be undertaken, if RBC was able to fund this. It was thought that this would be useful, if funds could be found, and providing it did not delay the whole process unduly. It was thought this could be done while the NP is out to consultation by RBC. It was noted that CK was also preparing to undertake a health check. **PM** to consider further with colleagues at RBC.

Item	Importance/urgency	Who
Section 2.1/policy H1 – unresolved comments from RBC (and iplan). To be	Critical	PM/CT
discussed at meeting noted above.		
2.1.17/policy H1 – Health Centre. Essential that there is evidence from the	Critical	СО
practice that they are wishing to grow the Health Centre. CO to meet with		
Dr Shortt.		
2.1.23/policy H1 – sewerage. CT to continue to work on obtaining data.	Critical	СТ
2.3/policy H3 – mix of market homes. A consultant had been engaged to	Critical	CT/PM
look at East Leake housing needs in detail and the report would be		
produced in due course. This could mean changes to the housing mix		

4. Work needed to finish the plan

detailed in H3. The mix for affordable may need to be covered also.		
2.4/policy H4 – CT to revise for further discussion with RBC in light of	Critical	СТ
discussion to date.		
T1 and T2 – PM to investigate ongoing maintenance of new foot and cycle	Important	CS/PM
paths and the appropriate legal status for such paths.		
5.1.1 – Views Analysis – Fred has supplied further photos - Conrad to	Desirable	СО
update views analysis		
5.1/E2 – areas of separation - amend map following comments, add	Critical	CT/PM
commitment to review every 4 years, and redraw the maps in more detail.		
CT to sketch, and PM to produce map		
8.1 parking - add document to evidence base and reference in 8.1	Desirable	СТ
Basic Conditions Statement, Strategic Environmental Assessment,	Critical	CS, CK,
Sustainability appraisal, EU obligations – draft basic conditions had been		PM
circulated and checked by various members of the team. Various items		
needed to be added –re habitats, equalities. CK still to check. PM now		
had copies of the responses from the Environment Agency, Natural		
England and English Heritage would get the ball rolling re SA screening and		
copy the team into this. CS co-ordinating		
Statement of consultation – being updated for submission	Critical	СТ

- 5. RBC was still waiting for the inspector's report on the Core Strategy. If OK, it will go to full council for adoption probably early in the new year.
- 6. Field End Close appeal would go to a hearing.
- 7. AOB Radcliffe on Trent had conveyed their thanks for EL assistance with their project start up.
- 8. Date of Next meeting: First Tuesday of the month, 7pm at the Parish Office.

CT, 21-Nov-14